

# Shared Governance

Your results

Reading your results

Your results compared to PEERS ◀  
Your results compared to COHORT ▶

Areas of strength in GREEN  
Areas of concern in RED

Within campus differences  
sm (.1) med. (.3) lrg. (.5)

	mean	overall	tenured	pre-ten	ntt	full	assoc	men	women	white	foc	asian	urm	ten vs pre-ten	ten vs ntt	full vs assoc	men vs women	white vs foc	white vs asian	white vs urm	2009
<b>Governance: Trust</b>	2.53	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
I understand how to voice opinions about policies	2.69	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶		ntt	assoc	men		white	urm	N/A
Clear rules about the roles of faculty and administration	2.55	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Faculty and admin follow rules of engagement	2.52	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Faculty and admin have an open system of communication	2.29	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white	white	N/A
Faculty and admin discuss difficult issues in good faith	2.45	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white		N/A
<b>Governance: Shared sense of purpose</b>	2.40	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men		white	urm	N/A
Important decisions are not made until there is consensus	2.21	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white	urm	N/A
Admin ensures sufficient time for faculty input	2.30	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men			urm	N/A
Faculty and admin respectfully consider the other's view	2.33	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white	urm	N/A
Faculty and admin have a shared sense of responsibility	2.60	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men			urm	N/A
<b>Governance: Understanding the issue at hand</b>	2.39	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Faculty governance structures offer opportunities for input	2.58	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	ntt	assoc	men	white	white	urm	N/A
Admin communicate rationale for important decisions	2.27	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white		N/A
Faculty and admin have equal say in decisions	2.12	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	white	white	urm	N/A
Faculty and admin define decision criteria together	2.35	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white		N/A
<b>Governance: Adaptability</b>	2.32	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Shared governance holds up in unusual circumstances	2.30	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white		N/A
Institution regularly reviews effectiveness of governance	2.13	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Institution cultivates new faculty leaders	2.50	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	full	men	foc	asian		N/A
<b>Governance: Productivity</b>	2.55	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white	urm	N/A
Overall effectiveness of shared governance	2.34	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men	white	white		N/A
My committees make measureable progress towards goals	2.90	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured		men		white	urm	N/A
Public recognition of progress	2.48	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	◀▶	tenured	tenured	assoc	men	white	white		N/A